

TECHNICAL WORKSHOP ON RESULTS-BASED FUNDING The new relationship between partners and donors

19-20 MAY 2014 OECD Headquarters, Paris, France

Franco CONZATO, European Commission, Directorate General for Cooperation and Development - EuropeAid





Context

(2011) Busan High Level Forum: partners call for "better managing for results, monitoring, evaluating and communicating progress"

(2012) Agenda for Change Communication: the EU commits to developing a "common framework for measuring and communicating results"

(2013) EU issues the Staff Working Document (2013)-530 "Paving the way for the EU Development and Cooperation Results Framework"





EU Development and Cooperation Results Framework (RF)

- Dual purpose:
 - Accountability communicate to stakeholders (e.g. tax payers, EU Institutions) results achieved in country, by sector and at corporate level
 - Management provide reliable information on EU performance to support decision making
- The framework will be a snapshot of EU cooperation: coverage of EU operations estimated below 100%





The EU Results Framework and the Programming process

- No artificial integration of RF indicators into programming documents, but identification of matching between the two sets ("matching table").
- Ownership and alignment ensured. Policy dialogue more focused on results and their measurement.
- Matching indicators to be included in forthcoming Identification fiches and Action documents.
- Indicators to be measured, reported and aggregated throughout 2014/20.





The importance of Risk Assessments in delivering results

- Example: the EU Risk Management Framework for Budget Support operations:
 - 44 questions with risk ratings, aggregated into 14 dimensions and 5 risk categories
 - Reference to other tools (PEFA, WGI, ..) but largely own appraisal
 - Guide BS decisions (but no automatic disbursement link)
 - Overview of 85 RMFs in 2013: 27% of countries represented have an average substantial risk: risk management not avoidance





Feedback from EDF Development Partners in the context of the EU Programming Process

- CSO: Uneven situation at country level.
- Country 1: intense dialogue on results (country has got a RF)
- Country 2: strong engagement on results discussions based on previous performance mechanism – mainly Budget Support
- Country 3: poor discussion





Way forward

- Finalisation and application of RF to EU aid (reporting in 2015)
- Piloting EU RF
- Continuing to explore an EU approach to results
- Support global discussion (High Level Seminar, 24 June, Brussels)