

Jim Stewart  
[jstewart@tcd.ie](mailto:jstewart@tcd.ie)  
Sol Picciotto  
[s.picciotto@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:s.picciotto@lancaster.ac.uk)

The **BEPS**  
Monitoring  
Group

A global network of independent researchers

## Tax Certainty

6th International Workshop on Domestic Revenue Mobilisation in Developing Countries "Mobilising resources for development: The role of international cooperation in tax matters"  
Bonn, 28-29 November 2017

# Fair and Effective Taxation

## Clear and Easy to Apply

deducted at source – e.g. on employees

consumption taxes

not so for self-employed and business

## Uncertain – Based on Abstract Concepts

‘income’, ‘residence’, ‘source’

needs common understanding, based on trust

undermined by ‘tax planning’

detailed rules lead to complexity, more avoidance

‘cooperative compliance’ or ‘sweetheart deals’?

# Why the Focus on Tax Certainty ?

- “negative effects on investment and trade” (European Commission Working Paper).
- “the importance of providing greater tax certainty to taxpayers to support trade, investment and economic growth has become a shared priority of governments and businesses.” OECD (2017, p. 5)

# What is the Evidence ?

- Evidence for a relationship between tax uncertainty and investment is mixed.
- “theoretical literature shows that the effects of tax uncertainty depend on many factors and in some cases the results are counterintuitive” (EU WP p. 20).
- “theoretical literature finds that the impact of uncertainty in taxation on investment is unclear” ...outcomes depend on the “assumptions made” (OECD).
- So whether tax uncertainty matters is ultimately an empirical question.
- How to identify and measure tax uncertainty ?

# Identifying Tax Uncertainty

- Tax uncertainty has been identified as:-
- The volatility in effective tax rates (EU Paper).
- Uncertainty about ‘effective tax rates’ was the third most important influence on investment in a survey of 88 professionals (Devereux).
- Uncertainty in tax law – EU Paper refers to the “use of ambiguous language”, and “complexity in the tax code”
- “Unclear, vague or poorly drafted legislative provisions will lead to tax uncertainty” (OECD).
- Court cases (OECD)
- **Note:** Measures of effective tax rates are inherently ambiguous due to data and other issues at the firm level and country level.

# Sources of Tax Uncertainty

- “Tax uncertainty can derive from several sources. Weaknesses of the institutional framework of tax policy, at domestic and international level, are the main drivers” (EU paper).
- **More important sources are:**
- a culture of opportunistic behaviour and systematic tax avoidance.
- changing business models, especially the rise of the digital economy (Google, Facebook, Airbnb, Uber etc)

# Uncertainty in Corporate Income Tax and Investment

- A survey of 724 companies, found uncertainty in corporate income tax to be “important” but “not the most important factor” influencing investment” (OECD/IMF, 2017).
- Other surveys consistently rank tax factors as 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> in locational choice ( EY Attractiveness survey 2017) and 7<sup>th</sup> in 2016.
- One problem is that research cited assumes firms pay tax.
- Investment decision making may be focussed on creating options (Research & Development) although valuable, often does not result in taxable cash flows.
- Start ups do not make tax profit.
- Loss making firms and those with accumulated losses do not pay tax.

# Complete Tax Certainty May Not be Desirable

- Complete tax certainty can never be achieved and is undesirable from a public interest perspective.
- The OECD advocate a reduction in tax uncertainty by ensuring “appropriate mechanisms for consultation on proposed or announced legislation and enhanced guidance”.
- But “consultation” can easily turn into lobbying.
- IMF/OECD and EU argue strongly for binding mandatory arbitration.
- This proposal was controversial in the G20 BEPS project (Action 14), opposed by India amongst others, so remained an ‘option’.
- Binding mandatory arbitration is often linked to the doctrine of “legitimate expectations”.
- The combination of these two concepts could lead to severe restrictions on the power of states to reform aspects of their tax and regulatory regime for foreign direct investment.



# European State Aid Cases and Uncertainty

- The three concepts of reducing tax uncertainty, binding arbitration, and the doctrine of legitimate expectations all feature in appeals of the Competition Directorate State Aid Cases.
- The US Treasury White paper states:  
“the U.S. Treasury Department has been a strong proponent of binding mandatory arbitration to more efficiently resolve disputes, and has worked with a group of countries, including Belgium, Ireland, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands, over the past year on developing a multilateral instrument to incorporate it into existing treaties”.
- The summary Irish Government case states the Commission has “infringed the principles of legal certainty and legitimate expectations”.
- The next section deals with proposals for international tax reform.

# Tax Treaties

- **Complex Network of Bilateral Agreements**  
creates loopholes, e.g. `hybrid mismatches`
- **BEPS Multilateral Convention**  
could create common floor of basic anti-abuse provisions  
71 signatories so far, 1100 matched Covered Agreements  
However –
  - USA not a party
  - some treaties unlisted (e.g. Mauritius' with Africa)
  - many reservations
- **Reasons?**  
Caution? – cannot add reservation later  
Non-OECD/G20 states not involved in BEPS
- **OECD/G20 states should withdraw or explain their reservations**

# Transparency

- **Country-by-Country Reporting for TNCs**

Clear template for basic information

But filing and dissemination complex

**CbCRs should be public**

- **Disclosure of Tax Avoidance Schemes**

EU Commission proposal 2017

First measures aimed at cross-border schemes

But should add:

- up-front payments

- penalties for enablers of failed schemes

# Transfer Pricing Rules & Arbitration

- **Arm's Length Principle Does Not Work**  
'Independent Entity' is a fiction – no comparables  
Functional analysis is subjective, needs specialists
- **Need clear criteria for apportioning profit**  
'where activities occur and value is created'  
e.g. CCCTB  
systematised profit-split method
- **Arbitration is the wrong solution**  
total secrecy undermines public confidence  
preference for 'baseball' method shows arbitrariness
- **Clear and Public Criteria best way to reduce conflicts**