**Room: Ostasien - East Asia** (Ground Floor)

24.-25.10.2022 Bonn, Germany



# **State of the science of "political futures"**

**Exploring how to improve the representation and** usability of socio-political factors in the SSPs







# German Institute of Development and Sustainability







#### State of the science of "political futures" Exploring how to improve the representation and usability of socio-political factors in the SSPs

#### Workshop 24 – 25 October 2022, Bonn Germany

**Rationale**: Enhancing the use of social science expertise is fundamental to the development of scenarios of climate and societal futures that are responsive to a wider range of challenges towards strong climate action and sustainability. In particular, there is an agreement that sociopolitical factors must inform scenarios and be integrated in scenarios in a more systematic way (cf. O'Neill et al. 2020). This need is especially pressing for the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs), which are employed in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessments.

**Objective**: This workshop serves for *mapping* the state of the science of existing approaches that model future scenarios of governance institutions and violent conflict. As governance has been conceived as a crucial enabler of sustainability transformations (in particular in climate, energy and health scenarios), there is a need to identify ways how to quantify the socio-political factors that comprise governance/political institutions/violent conflict and integrate them in scenarios. To inform the efforts of research groups that are already engaging in these efforts and to encourage additional research activities, this workshop is the first step in the direction of a "structured model inter-comparison" following the best practices for such efforts and for dissemination to the scenarios research community. Main objectives of the workshop thus are:

- Mapping of the state of the science of existing approaches of future scenarios (short-term goal)
- Define steps and agree on commitments to develop a structured and publishable model inter-comparison (mid-term goal)

This workshop assembles a small group of experts who have been working on understanding and projecting sociopolitical drivers and trends. As a next step, we aim to organize a workshop for a broader range of participants, which allows us to bring in different perspectives from different regions.

Date: 1.5 days; 24th and 25th of October in Bonn, Germany

Format: Workshop, which should allow for creative exchange rather than standardized presentations

Timing: Presentations and comments à 10 minutes







#### **24.10.2022** <u>WORKSHOP – FIRST DAY</u>

13:00	Arrival of participants and light lunch		
13:30	<b>Welcome</b> Introductions of participants and aim of workshop		
14:00 – 15:00	On the purpose of (socio)political scenariosHalvard Buhaug, Elisabeth Gilmore and Julia LeiningerGuiding question: Why are sociopolitical scenarios relevant for climate/sustainability scenarios? Why is it relevant to integrate sociopolitical factors in future scenarios?Goal: Define the starting point and rationale of sociopolitical projections as well as their relevance for future scenarios in 		
15:00 – 16:15	Introduction to the SSPs and the relevance of model inter- comparisons Introduction by <i>Elmar Kriegler</i> , PIK <u>Guiding question</u> : What are the SSPs and how did they evolve? Where do we stand now? What can we learn for further SSP development and integrated modelling from prior model inter-comparisons? <u>Goal</u> : Learn about SSPs and identify best practices to compare future scenarios that model socio-political factors. <u>Content</u> : This session serves as an introductory session to get a deeper knowledge of the SSPs and exchange on the best practices of model inter-comparison (theory, methods, findings etc.). Point of departure are well-known model comparisons such as Gates et al. (1999), Luderer et al. (2012); Clarke et al. (2014), Kriegler et al. (2015) or the mapping of the use of SSPs and RCPs in O'Neill et al. 2020. <u>Moderator</u> : <i>Elisabeth Gilmore</i>		
	the use of SSPs and RCPs in O'Neill et al. 2020.		



16:45 - 18:15





#### Datasets and indicators to measure sociopolitical factors

Presentation and discussion of existing datasets (12 minutes each)

<u>Guiding questions:</u> What are appropriate datasets that represent measurable political factors? How do political factors and policies correlate? How to best account for political instability, including armed conflict?

<u>Goal</u>: Get to know work of each other more in-depth and link politics/polities to policies in future scenarios.

<u>Content</u>: Colleagues present their datasets and we discuss it. Focus should be on discussion and exchange with a spirit of how to advance our joint field of interest. An additional angle is the discussion of the relationship between politics/polities and policies. As many SSP scenarios use policy interventions, there is a need to disentangle the relationship between these political issues.

Moderator: Halvard Buhaug

Policy density as a measurement of climate policy ambition *Simon Schaub and Jale Tosun*, Heidelberg University

Political institutions in the V-Dem dataset Staffan Lindberg and Marina Pavlova, V-Dem Institute

Armed conflict Håvard Strand, University of Oslo

Comments: Sylvia Karlsson-Vinkhuyzen, Wageningen University

#### END OF DAY ONE

#### 24.10.2022 YOU ARE INVITED TO JOIN US FOR DINNER @ 19:30 (COSTS COVERED BY ORGANIZERS)

#### LEO'S BISTRO HOTEL COLLEGIUM LEONINUM NOVA VITA RESIDENZ BONN GMBH NOEGGERATHSTRABE 34 D-53111 BONN







#### 25.10.2022 WORKSHOP – SECOND DAY

09:00 - 09:15	Summary of first day and goals for second day Input by organizers		
09:15 – 10:45	State of the science I: Existing sociopolitical futuresPresentation and discussion of three models (10 minutes each)Guiding question:What are major explanatory factors of institutionaldevelopment? Which factors should be accounted for when modelingfuture sociopolitical development? What are the main features of eachmodel? What are advantages, what shortcomings?Goal: Get to know work of each other more in-depthContent: Colleagues present their work followed by discussion of thekey themes, methodological advancements, and potential new directionsfor inquiry. Focus should be on discussion and exchange with a spirit ofhow to advance our joint field of interest.Moderator: Armin von SchillerExplanatory factors of institutional developmentStaffan Lindberg, V-Dem InstituteGovernance projection along SSPsMarina Andrijevic, IIASAPolitical institutions along SSPs and SDPConstantin Ruhe, University Frankfurt, IDOS, Chris Wingens, IDOSand Julia Leininger, IDOSComments: Mark Roelfsema, PBL		
COFFEE BREAK			





#### State of the science II: Existing sociopolitical futures

Presentation and discussion of three models (10 minutes each)

<u>Guiding question:</u> What are major explanatory factors of conflict development? Which factors should be accounted for when modeling future sociopolitical development? What are the main features of each model? What are advantages, what shortcomings?

Goal: Get to know work of each other more in-depth

<u>Content</u>: Colleagues present their work followed by discussion of the key themes, methodological advancements, and potential new directions for inquiry. Focus should be on discussion and exchange with a spirit of how to advance our joint field of interest.

## 11:00 – 12:30 <u>Moderator</u>: Halvard Buhaug

Key drivers of armed conflict *Håvard Strand*, University of Oslo

Conflict scenarios and incorporating feedbacks into the economy *Elisabeth Gilmore*, PRIO and Carleton University

Predicting the impact of armed conflict on vulnerability *Paola Vesco*, Uppsala University

Comments: Jessica Jewell, Chalmers University

#### LUNCH BREAK





	State of the science III: Existing sociopolitical futures			
13:30 – 15:00	Presentation and discussion of three models (10 minutes each)			
	<u>Guiding question:</u> Which factors should be accounted for when modeling future sociopolitical development? What are the main features of each model? What are advantages, what shortcomings?			
	Goal: Get to know work of each other more in-depth			
	<u>Content</u> : Colleagues present their work followed by discussion of the key themes, methodological advancements, and potential new directions for inquiry. Focus should be on discussion and exchange with a spirit of how to advance our joint field of interest.			
	Moderator: Elisabeth Gilmore			
	Explorations of integrating sociopolitical factors <i>Elina Brutschin</i> , IIASA			
	Forecasting sociopolitical variables along the SSPs using the International Futures model Jonathan Moyer, Denver University			
	Exogenous and endogenous societal development projections <i>Halvard Buhaug, PRIO</i>			
	Comments: Bas van Ruijven, IIASA			
COFFEE BREAK				
	Way ahead			
	Organizers			
15:15 – 16:45	Roundtable with all participants and structured discussion on the main insights of the "state of the art" and "indicator" sessions.			
	<u>Guiding question:</u> What are next steps towards a joint publication? What gaps need to be closed before we can go for a proper model comparison?			
	<u>Goal</u> : Identify the main insights and gaps and define next steps towards a publication (probably a mapping at this stage, which defines gaps that are the basis for working towards a more "sophisticated" model comparison.			
	Content: Structured discussion (pinboards etc.)			
	Moderator: Julia Leininger			
END OF WORKSHOP				







#### References

Clarke L. et al. in *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change* (eds Edenhofer, O. et al.) Ch. 6 (IPCC, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014).

Gates, W. L., Boyle, J. S., Covey, C., Dease, C. G., Doutriaux, C. M., Drach, R. S., ... & Williams, D. N. (1999). An overview of the results of the Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP I). *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 80(1), 29-56.

Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., Bauer, N., Schwanitz, V. J., Petermann, N., Bosetti, V., ... & Edenhofer, O. (2015). Making or breaking climate targets: The AMPERE study on staged accession scenarios for climate policy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *90*, 24-44.

Luderer, G., Bosetti, V., Jakob, M. *et al.* The economics of decarbonizing the energy system—results and insights from the RECIPE model intercomparison. *Climatic Change* **114**, 9–37 (2012). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0105-x</u>

O'Neill, B.C., Carter, T.R., Ebi, K. *et al.* Achievements and needs for the climate change scenario framework. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **10**, 1074–1084 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00952-0







#### List of participants Workshop

State of the science of "political futures" Exploring how to improve the representation and usability of socio-political factors in the SSPs

24 – 25 October 2022, Bonn Germany

Surname	Name	Institution/Affiliation
Andrijevic	Marina	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)
Bauer	Nico	Potsdam-Institut für Klimafolgenforschung (PIK)
Brutschin	Elina	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)
Buhaug	Halvard	Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO)
Carlsen	Henrik	Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI)
Gilmore	Elisabeth	Carleton University
Hernandez	Ariel	German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS)
Jewell	Jessica	Chalmers University
Karlsson-Vinkhuyzen	Sylvia	Wageningen University
Kriegler	Elmar	Potsdam-Institut für Klimafolgenforschung (PIK)
Leininger	Julia	German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS)
Lindberg	Staffan	V-Dem Institute
Moyer	Jonathan	University of Denver
Pavlova	Marina	V-Dem Institute
Roelfsema	Mark	PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency
Ruhe	Constantin	Goethe University Frankfurt
Schaub	Simon	Heidelberg University
Strand	Håvard	Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO)
van Ruijven	Bas	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)
Vesco	Paola	Uppsala University
Vestby	Jonas	Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO)
von Schiller	Armin	German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS)
Wingens	Christopher	German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS)







### Organisation



German Institute of Development and Sustainability

**German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS)** Tulpenfeld 6 53113 Bonn

# **Contact:**

Raja Mohsin Khalid Phone: +49 228 94 927-161 Emergency contact (Dr Julia Leininger): +49 170 6406464 Fax: +49 228 94 927-130 Email: idos@idos-research.de